

Lecture 02: Mathematical Inequalities Examples

Example 1: Bound exp using Polynomials I

- Our objective is to bound $\exp(-x)$ using polynomials in x when x is in the set $[0, 1]$. We shall use Lagrange form of the Taylor's Remainder Theorem to prove these bounds
- First, let us recall what the Lagrange's form of the Taylor's Remainder Theorem states. Suppose f is a "well-behaved" function. Let $f^{(i)}$ represent the i -th derivative of f (here $f^{(0)}$ represents the function f itself). For any choice of a, k, ε , there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that the following identity holds

$$f(a + \varepsilon) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=0}^k f^{(i)}(a) \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} \right)}_{\text{Estimate}} + \underbrace{f^{(k+1)}(a + \theta\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}}_{\text{Remainder}}$$

We emphasize that the value of θ depends on the values of a, k, ε . The sign of the remainder determines whether the

Example 1: Bound exp using Polynomials II

estimate is an overestimation or an underestimation of the value $f(\varepsilon)$.

- As a corollary, when $a = 0$, the above statement yields the following result. For any choice of k, ε , there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(\varepsilon) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k f^{(i)}(0) \frac{\varepsilon^i}{i!} \right) + f^{(k+1)}(\theta\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

- We shall use $f(x) = \exp(-x)$
- Claim: $f^{(i)}(x) = (-1)^i \exp(-x)$ (you can use induction to prove this claim)
- So, we have $f^{(i)}(0) = (-1)^i$

Example 1: Bound exp using Polynomials III

- **Case** $k = 1$. Let us apply the Lagrange form of the Taylor's Remainder theorem to $f(x) = \exp(-x)$, and for the choice of $a = 0$ and $k = 1$. So, for every ε there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(\varepsilon) = f(0) + f^{(1)}(0) \frac{\varepsilon}{1!} + f^{(2)}(\theta\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}$$

This expression is equivalent to

$$\exp(-\varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon + \overbrace{\exp(-\theta\varepsilon)}^{\text{Remainder}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}$$

Note that the remainder is positive. So, we have $\exp(-\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$.

We have our first underestimation of $\exp(-x)$ using polynomials in x .

Example 1: Bound exp using Polynomials IV

- **Case** $k = 2$. Let us use $k = 2$ now. So, for every ε there exists $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(\varepsilon) = f(0) + f^{(1)}(0)\frac{\varepsilon}{1!} + f^{(2)}(\varepsilon)\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} + f^{(3)}(\theta\varepsilon)\frac{\varepsilon^3}{3!}$$

This expression is equivalent to

$$\exp(-\varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2/2 - \exp(-\theta\varepsilon)\frac{\varepsilon^3}{3!}$$

Note that the remainder is negative. So, we have

$$\exp(-\varepsilon) \leq 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2/2$$

We have our first overestimation of $\exp(-x)$ using polynomials in x .

Example 1: Bound exp using Polynomials V

- In general, if k is odd we get an underestimation

$$\exp(-\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2/2 - \dots - \varepsilon^k/k!$$

If k is even, we get the overestimation

$$\exp(-\varepsilon) \leq 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2/2 - \dots + \varepsilon^k/k!$$

Example 2: AM-GM Inequality I

- Our objective is to prove the AM-GM inequality using Jensen's Inequality
- Let us recall the AM-GM inequality. In the simplest form, it states that for any $a, b \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{a + b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab},$$

and equality holds if and only if $a = b$. Note that this statement already implies that the inequality is “strict” if $a \neq b$.

Example 2: AM-GM Inequality II

- In general, let $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$ be n real numbers. Let p_1, \dots, p_n define a probability distribution (this implies that $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$). The general AM-GM inequality states that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i}$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$. Note that the simplest form of the AM-GM inequality is the restriction of this statement to $n = 2$ and $p_1 = p_2 = 1/2$.

Example 2: AM-GM Inequality III

- Let us try to “play around” with the AM-GM inequality to find the appropriate function f on which we shall apply the Jensen’s inequality. We need to prove

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i}$$

Note that we can write a_i as $\exp(\ln(a_i))$. So, the AM-GM inequality is equivalent to proving

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(\ln(a_i))^{p_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(p_i \ln(a_i)) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(a_i)\right)$$

Example 2: AM-GM Inequality IV

- Since \ln is monotone, we can take \ln on both sides and it is equivalent to proving

$$\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(a_i)$$

- Look, now the inequality that we need to prove involves expressions of the form

$$f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(a_i)$$

- So, we apply Jensen's Inequality to the function $f(x) = \ln(x)$ (which is convex downwards) and obtain the inequality. Equality holds if and only if all points coincide, that is, $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

Example 3: Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality I

- Suppose we have $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \neq 0$. Cauchy–Schwarz inequality states that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

And, inequality holds if and only if $\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \dots = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$.

- As in the previous example we shall manipulate the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality into an equivalent inequality that we can prove using the Jensen's inequality. However, this manipulation is tricky in this case. The first hint regarding what points we should be using is given by the equality condition, which states that $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ is constant. So, we should try to rewrite the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality so that the expression $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ shows up.

Example 3: Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality II

- The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality is equivalent to

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

- Note that the left hand side has the points as $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$. However, there is a slight problem. The corresponding coefficients b_i^2 do not define a probability (although the values are positive, they might not add up to 1). So, we divide both sides of the expression by $B = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2$. This manipulation, yields the following equivalent expression

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i^2}{B} \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{B} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{B} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i^2}{B} \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{b_i} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Example 3: Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality III

- Let us define $p_i = b_i^2/B$ and $x_i = a_i/b_i$. This substitution makes the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality equivalent to

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

- Both sides of the inequality are positive, so we can square both sides and get an equivalent inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \right)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^2$$

Example 3: Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality IV

- If we prove the above inequality then we have proven Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. We shall use $f(x) = x^2$ (convex upwards function) and apply Jensen's inequality to prove this inequality. Furthermore, equality holds if and only if all points $x_i = a_i/b_i$ are identical.
- **Exercise:** Prove the Hölder's inequality that states the following. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n > 0$. Let p, q be positive reals such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{1/q}$$

Equality holds if and only if a_i^p/b_i^q is identical for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.